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California Consumer Privacy Act

- Landmark US data protection law
- First state law to create significant privacy rights for individuals across sectors
- Complex, demanding operational requirements
- Definition of “personal information” is broader than GDPR
- Heavy nudge to encourage residents to opt-out of sale of their data
- High potential fines for privacy violations, but enforced by the AG only
- Potentially massive class action liability for data breaches
CCPA (cont.)

- Transparency/Website privacy notice
- Right to know
- Right to say “no” - opt-out of sale of personal information
- Right to opt-in to sale of personal information for minors under 16
- Right to obtain deletion
- Right to access
- Right to portability
- Right against discrimination
- Right to sue for statutory damages for data breaches
## Comparison of CCPA to GDPR

**CCPA**
- Any personal information that relates to or is capable of being associated with an individual, device or household
- Shorter notice obligations but specific places where notices must be placed and ways to receive requests
- Right to opt out of sale only, but third parties may opt people out and there are much narrower legitimate interest exceptions
- Rights to access, deletion and portability with narrower exceptions and broader range of personal data
- Right against discrimination for exercising rights
- Data breach class action for statutory damages
- Potentially high AG enforcement for intentional violations

**GDPR**
- Any information related to an identified or identifiable individual
- Longer notices required but flexible as to how present as long as just-in time and prominent
- No right to opt out of sale per se, although consent (opt in) to collection, use and disclosure of data may be required depending on circumstances
- Similar rights for narrower range of personal data and with broader exceptions
- No such right, but discrimination may render processing unlawful
- No class actions for statutory damages
- Potentially enormous regulator fines
CFIUS

- On August 13, 2018, President Trump signed into law the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act of 2018 (FIRRMA)
- FIRRMA was enacted to modernize and strengthen analysis and process of Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS)
- CFIUS reviews investments, mergers, acquisitions and takeovers in which any foreign person may obtain foreign control of any person/entity or asset in the US (referred to as “covered transactions”) to determine the effects of such transactions on the national security of the US
  - CFIUS can recommend that the President of the United States suspend, prohibit, divest or unwind a “covered transaction” if:
    - a foreign person
    - will assume control
    - over a US business
    - and might take action that threatens to impair US national security.
CFIUS (cont.)

- Investment/acquisition transactions triggering a CFIUS review include the following industries:
  - Technology
  - Communications
  - Defense/aerospace
  - Transportation
  - Infrastructure
  - Energy assets (incl. wind farms)
  - Biotechnology/health
  - Real estate
How can you address CFIUS concerns?

- Assess whether a particular investment, acquisition, or other transaction could be a *covered transaction* subject to the jurisdiction of CFIUS
- Conduct *CFIUS-specific due diligence*
- Depending on diligence results, the parties should submit:
  - a *joint voluntary notice* to CFIUS seeking its approval or
  - a *voluntary declaration* (a lighter notice) to CFIUS pursuant to FIRRMA or
  - a *mandatory declaration* to CFIUS pursuant to FIRRMA
    - CFIUS has yet to issue regulations that implement voluntary or mandatory declarations
    - CFIUS may devise a pilot program on declarations in the interim
What makes the CFIUS process challenging?

- CFIUS’s jurisdiction over foreign investments in the United States is quite broad and in most cases hinges on whether a foreign person will have “control” over a US business.

- CFIUS accounts for a variety of factors when determining the national security implications of a “covered transaction”:
  - CFIUS does not disclose the specific factors that influence its analyses.
  - CFIUS members have access to non-public information which they will not share with the transaction parties.

- In practice, CFIUS reviews can take longer than the periods specified under applicable law/regulations.
Trade tariffs

- 10% or 25% tariffs on three different lists of thousands of imports from China
  - October 9 deadline for request for exclusion from List 1
  - December 18 deadline for request for exclusion from List 2
  - No exclusion process for List 3
Possible options to mitigate impact of additional duties

– Consider possible changes in tariff classification
– Determine availability of exemption
– Review processing operations for country of origin
– Consider alternatives to current purchase arrangements
– Consider alternative methods for importation to US, such as bonded warehouse, Foreign Trade Zone and Temporary Importation Bonds
– Review applicability of US drawback statute